PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



March 28, 2006

Agenda ID #5424

TO: PARTIES OF RECORD IN C.05-07-014

This is the draft decision of Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Barnett. It will not appear on the Commission's agenda for at least 30 days after the date it is mailed. The Commission may act then, or it may postpone action until later.

When the Commission acts on the draft decision, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend or modify it, or set it aside and prepare its own decision. Only when the Commission acts does the decision become binding on the parties.

Parties to the proceeding may file comments on the draft decision as provided in Article 19 of the Commission's "Rules of Practice and Procedure." These rules are accessible on the Commission's website at http://www.cpuc.ca.gov. Pursuant to Rule 77.3 opening comments shall not exceed 15 pages. Finally, comments must be served separately on the ALJ and the Assigned Commissioner, and for that purpose I suggest hand delivery, overnight mail, or other expeditious method of service.

/s/ ANGELA K. MINKIN Angela K. Minkin, Chief Administrative Law Judge

ANG:jt2

Attachment

Decision DRAFT DECISION OF ALJ BARNETT (Mailed 3/28/2006)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Suncountry Owners Association,

Complainant,

VS.

Case 05-07-014 (Filed July 18, 2005)

California-American Water Company,

Defendant.

OPINION DISMISSING COMPLAINT

Suncountry Owners Association (Association) is a non-profit mutual benefit corporation consisting of 307 private single-family homes, two swimming pools, a clubhouse, a cabana and extensive common grounds. California-American (Cal-Am) owns the water distribution system and supplies all of the water used by the Association and the homeowners. The homeowners are billed a flat rate. However, the water system does not deliver flat rate water to the front lawns. Instead lawns are supplied by metered water which Cal-Am bills directly to the Association. The Association pays the bill with member dues money. Cal-Am collects revenue from the homeowner's flat rate and the Association's metered billing. Since approximately 66% of the total landscaped and irrigated area in Suncountry is comprised of owners' front lawns it follows that approximately 66% of the metered water is used to water them. For the billing period June 18, 2004 to August 19, 2004, 66% of the metered water bill, not

220486 - 1 -

counting the basic service charge, was over \$8,000 – an \$8,000 charge for water that the Association alleges should already be covered by the homeowner flat rate. They request that the Commission reduce the metered rate for the Association in order to reflect the actual water use for just the common area landscaping.

Cal-Am answered and moved to dismiss. Cal-Am states:

- 1. Cal-Am provides water to approximately 300 residential customers in the Suncountry development under the terms of the Commission's approved schedule No. SAC-2R: Residential Flat Rate Service.
- 2. Cal-Am provides water to the Association through 11 two-inch, metered accounts under the terms of the Commission's approved schedule No. SAC-1: General Metered Service.
- 3. The 300 residential customers and 11 Association meters are provided service through separate service line connections.

Cal-Am submits that its recent general rate case considered rates and services for its Sacramento District (Decision 05-09-020) of which the Association had notice. The Association's claims should have been raised in the general rate case when all issues are considered. It is in the context of a general rate case that the reasonableness of the Association's proposal, in particular the impact of the proposal on other customers in Cal-Am's Sacramento District, can be appropriately analyzed.

We agree with Cal-Am and will dismiss the complaint.

Categorization and Need for Hearing

In Resolution ALJ 176-3157, dated August 25, 2005, the Commission preliminarily categorized this case as ratesetting and preliminarily determined that a hearing was not necessary.

C.05-07-014 RAB/jt2 **DRAFT**

Comments on Draft Decision

The draft decision of the ALJ in this matter was n	nailed to the parties in
accordance with Pub. Util. Code § 311(g)(1) and Rule 7	7.7 of the Rules of Practice
and Procedure. Comments were filed on	, and reply
comments were filed on	

Assignment of Proceeding

John Bohn is the Assigned Commissioner and Robert Barnett is the assigned Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding.

Findings of Fact

- 1. Cal-Am has over 57,000 residential customers and over 8,000 commercial customers in its Sacramento District.
- 2. Issues regarding rates and services which affect all customers of a utility should be raised in a general rate case.
- 3. A reduction in rates to one group must cause an increase to others in order to sustain the utility's approved revenue requirement.

Conclusion of Law

The complaint should be dismissed.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The complaint is dismissed.
- 2. No hearing is necessary for this proceeding.
- 3. Case 05-07-014 is closed.

This order is effective today.	
Dated	at San Francisco, California